



— NEWS photo by Tony Gugliandro
WHATEVER WILL BE, WILL BE! Presidential aspirant George Haynal, right, and his running-mate Neil Capper put their best smile forward.

Loyola NEWS

Vol. 42, No. 20 LOYOLA UNIVERSITY, MONTREAL, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 2, 1966

Battle Tactics

Haynal leads alliance

Amid the confusion and anxiety of a competitive pre-election world, presidential hopeful George Haynal described the tone of his platform as "conservative radicalism." Elaborating on this statement, he stated that it was conservative in that it leaned neither to the right or left, yet radical since it stresses a dynamic approach.

The duo of George Haynal and Neil Capper officially launched their campaign on Monday. It is based upon the premise of an "Alliance for Leadership." Earlier last week, the pair had been drafted by a committee of 18 students as their choice for SAC President and Vice President.

Commenting upon the apparent SAC isolation and student apathy in recent campaign addresses, Haynal maintained that "we do

not intend to become administrators and bureaucrats; but rather student representative administering student interests."

Asked to elaborate on this, the presidential hopeful hopes to establish a system comprised of intimate cooperation and communication with the general student body. "This can be done," he stated, "by means of a bi-monthly newsletter, frequent student surveys and extensive publicity."

Following along these same lines, he also advocated the employment of student referendums on all matters of major importance concerning the student body.

When questioned about the problem of student disinterest at the College, Vice presidential candidate, Capper, complained that he was tired of hearing student apathy blamed entirely on the students themselves.

In a personal survey of 250 students he stated that 80 percent of them blamed the apathy on SAC's part. The reason for this is that "no one becomes really keen about something he knows nothing of."

Riding high on the Haynal-Capper list of propositions designed at "bringing SAC to the students", is the proposal to establish an

ombudsman. Acting as a liaison to which students may come to air complaints or suggestions, it will provide a direct line to SAC.

The office will also serve as a SAC information office and a booking agency.

During a series of classroom campaigns, the executive hopefuls have stressed displeasure and concern over the rapidly rising inflation of activity fees.

Capper flatly stated that this high cost is not necessary in the future. When questioned as to a supplementary source of revenue he said that "expected profits from proposed vendors and a SAC-society split of membership fees will greatly alleviate the present strain on the budget."

Along with the demand for "a student voice rather than an echo in student government", the aspiring executive revealed a determined interest to spread the name of Loyola. To do this they plan to increase the prestige of societies which promote the name of Loyola before the public eye, and to establish an External Public Relation Committee.

On an even greater level, the duo would like to stage a Loyola centennial project in Montreal. Accomplishment of this venture would be accomplished through the expanded support of interested societies on campus.

Battle tactics

Maloney accents action

Peter Maloney and Richard Aitken, aspirants to the top SAC executive positions, stress communication with the student body and preparation for Loyola's anticipated role as one of Montreal's English universities. They emphasized that their program looks to the future; their campaign is not one of mere opposition to a rival team.

Appraising last year's SAC council, Maloney said that it had no real general direction. "While there were tremendous advances in some areas, for instance the student centre, other things, such as the caf and student leadership were neglected."

"Regarding the present eating facilities, we ought to hire a food expert a year before the student centre opens in September 1967. This way we could eventually run all food concessions ourselves, and in the interim improve our cafeteria."

"We also feel that not apathy but lack of proper student lead-

ership hinders campus action. We should have year round discussions on group dynamics, leadership, and the various roles of our student government."

He evaluated the Lower House. Maloney said that this last year it has been "fresh as a cesspool." Students on the House had too many other duties, little parley with their constituents. More important he said that it hasn't been presented with enough work to do.

Having sat on a St. Joseph-Marianopolis-Loyola amalgamation committee, Maloney feels we can cope with the changes in Loyola's position. St. Joseph's would probably move to Loyola, but Marianopolis, on the other hand, has already applied to become the women's Catholic College of McGill.

Does the team foresee changes in the structure of the Lower House? They advocate adding two representatives of the freshman class, for under the present

system, about one half of the college is not represented in the assembly by direct democratic process.

The Aitken-Maloney team advocates a health service on campus to protect the individual (especially in sport practices and intramural games), to provide efficient care for students in residence, and to prevent the spread of diseases that are a threat to the whole campus.

Though SAC now provides financial aid to some students, Maloney wants to expand the loan fund. "It is rather pathetic when people pay their tuition, then have to quit because of living expenses."

An idea that has proved successful at Harvard is the course evaluation booklet. This constitutes the responses of all students to their courses, lectures and readings. "It helps the freshmen to choose their major, the upper-classmen to select options, and professors to improve presentation, content and readings. So far, it has been greeted very well in the few places where it has been tried."

Loyola is a microcosm of the Canadian community with its very varied student group, and as such, the team feels ought to regard centennial year as an important personal celebration. The two are thinking in terms of a Montreal University Science Fair, Fine Arts programs, and a Montreal-wide winter carnival. An inter-university council will soon be set up if Maloney has his way.

Maloney and Aitken summarized their aims in this way: "We are to serve the students, and, elected, we'll do it. Everything can be improved. We'll worry, not when things have to be done, but when people feel there's nothing to be done."

Canadian Apathy Blasted

EDMONTON (CUP) — Canadians are ignorant of world affairs.

What's more, they don't care about their nation's position in the world.

Public apathy and the indifference of Canadians to the direction of Canada's foreign policy was attacked by a group of panelists at the teach-in "Canada: Sovereign or Satellite" held at the University of Alberta Feb. 19.

Lloyd Axworthy, a political scientist from United College, Winnipeg said that if Canadians can't care about world affairs we don't deserve to know.

Howard Green, former Conservative minister of external affairs, said the biggest question was not that of where Canada's foreign policy was made but the "subservient attitude of the Canadian people."

Peter Trueman of the Toronto Daily Star said he was ashamed of the government's attitudes on China and the Organization of American States. He called Canada's fear of being caught in the crossfire by joining the OAS, a sign of immaturity.



— NEWS photo by Tony Gugliandro
QUE SERA SERA! Candidates Peter Maloney, left, and Richard Aitken gaze into their political future but the electorate's decision will not be revealed until next Wednesday night.

Those Bloody Muddy Days of Spring

Spring solstice is but 19 days away.

SAC elections are but five days away.

Two full days of open season have already been in effect, but the mud is still thin. The major underhanded tactical manoeuvre which apparently is being used by both sides is pilfering each others buttons from poor voters. The trend, however, indicates that the mud is bound to start flying soon. Anything to win.

So far, it's been speeches, posters, pamphlets and fliers. Next, God knows. Oh yes, and slogans. One team promises effective leadership; the other pledges an alliance for leadership. The promises.

Aha. The problem: how to know which one to vote for. How to solve it.

If you don't already know, we think Peter Maloney and Richard Aitken should win.

(No, they haven't bribed us. No, they don't control us. And no, they will never do so.)

Why do we support Maloney and Aitken?

Well, they're got experience. Oh, but that means they're those awful SAC types who hole up in the dollhouse between classes and never know what the students really want. Undoubtedly that is not the case. Anyone with two cents worth of political sense in his head would realize that if he wanted to get elected he would have to have some idea of what his electorate needs and wants.

Yes, that may mean a platform as long as a roll of toilet paper. But it also means that they will have to keep them or get shot down. By us. Or by the Lower House (there is such a thing as a vote of non-confidence in the executive). So.

Next, we could compose and ramble off a long list of absolutes which we could claim Maloney and Aitken have: honesty, integrity, pride, generosity. But they're not gods. All they have is what they've done so far in student affairs. Their record.

Then, we could describe the crisis that Loyola is facing: the move to the new Student Centre, the transition from College to University (if there is such a thing), the CUS-UGEQ dilemma. From this we could point to Maloney and Aitken and shout that those shining stars have all the requirements to face these challenges and guide Loyola through these crises. Red herring.

Or finally, we could point to the above-mentioned platform and conclusively show that it represents what Loyola needs most, that it would represent the dawn of a new era, a revolution in student affairs. More red herring for the politicians.

May the politicians politic. Each voter will have to make up his own mind. Their job is to convince him. Our job is to help, in our own way, on our own grounds.

This editorial could very well backfire and cause Maloney and Aitken to lose the election. That is the chance we take; that is the decision each voter must make with his magnetic pencil.

When the blood has stopped flowing and the mud has settled, the numbers must favor Peter Maloney and Richard Aitken for a better Loyola.

Why? It can't really be put into honest language.

May they win.

Amen.

H.S.

letters

Absurdity: apologizing for North Vietnam policy

Dear Sir:

If anyone should be editorialized as a wallpaper descendant creature, the typical anti-U.S. "Vietnick" should receive the honor. Last week's NEWS editorial did a grave injustice to responsible educated opinion.

The freedom which the Americans are defending in Vietnam does imply self-determination. They have tried and are trying to uphold this implication. It is precisely this that the Viet Cong and its Hanoi leadership wished to predetermine.

Control the countryside, control the peasants through the years of terror and intimidation and then you tell them that you will determine their future.

This is Communism's double standard so aptly applied to the concept of self-determination.

The term "genocide" used in reference to U.S. actions by the Editor-in-Chief reflects his irresponsibility in usage of political terms. The Genocide Convention of December 1948 defined genocide as acts committed with attempt to destroy in whole or in part national, racial, ethnic (etc) groups. If anyone has committed such planned acts against the peoples of North and South Vietnam, it is Ho Chi Minh and his Southern comrades.

In 1956, uprisings erupted in North Vietnam; approximately 50,000 peasants who resisted collectivization were executed and twice that number sent to forced labor camps. Ho didn't justify these acts of genocide in racial or ethnic terms but in "class" terms. "Counter-revolutionary" activities were crushed, according to Ho and company.

Between 1957 and 1959 the Viet Cong assassinated 65 village chiefs who resisted "liberation" efforts. By 1960-61 village officials, school teachers, and health workers were being murdered by the thousands; 200 primary schools were forced to close denying 25,000 students of educational facilities. And this was merely the beginning of terror.

Technically, the U.S. and South Vietnam never violated

the Geneva Accord since they didn't even sign it. Nevertheless free elections didn't take place because President Diem of South Vietnam insisted that in order to have meaningful elections they would have to take place in the North as well as the South.

Ho Chi Minh, who in 1956 was already collectivizing and communizing the North, wasn't prepared to accept anything resembling the free election concept involving international observers or monitors, as envisaged in the Geneva Accord.

The North Vietnamese Communist Party Congress of 1960, called for the formation in South Vietnam of a liberation front and the NLF of South Viet Nam was duly proclaimed. Its propaganda line is dictated from the North and members of the NLF travel abroad on North Vietnamese passports. The NLF is the political arm of the Viet Cong.

Naturally, the Americans

aren't angels. In fighting, they are destroying parts of the country. But they are fighting, at the request of the South, against Northern Communist subtle and overt aggression. There are now even divisions of North Vietnamese troops in the South.

Yes, there should be a peace conference. But it can't as it is obvious now, be brought about by a halt in bombing. As for stopping the war, tell Ho Chi Minh and the NLF. As for recognizing the NLF, it would mean a coalition government for South Viet Nam after the war.

Based upon past experience, Laos most notably, the Communists eventually will settle for no less than complete control.

The U.S. has taken vast steps in the recent past to begin a program to rebuild a social structures destroyed by the Viet Cong. The blame is certainly not in Saigon or Washington. To be an apologist for present North

NEWS accused of joining select group of idiots

Dear Sir:

With regard to your fatuous editorial in last week's NEWS; congratulations on joining the select group of idiots who hold similar opinions. One certainly has to admire your fortitude in sticking by your guns (excuse the expression). It certainly covers you in glory.

Another thing accomplished by this drivel, is the explanations of the results of the recent NEWS Survey. No wonder Loyola students would prefer you to stick to on-campus events; you obviously have no conception whatsoever of international affairs and the responsible presentation of facts. Your opinions mean nothing to the mass of the populace (who is obviously better informed than you) when confronted by the facts.

You really should read a newspaper once in a while, Henry. Or if that seems intel-

lectually taxing, try listening to a radio (in a supine position, of course).

It is your considered opinion that the United States; "... should support free elections if they believe in their own principles; ..." yet you state in the same breath that they shouldn't be willing to fight for these very same principles! It is fortunate for the rest of the world that not many people have this insane outlook on life.

If the North Vietnamese were invading Montreal, Mr. Sobotka would be in the front ranks of those who would be found running, screaming to the Americans for help, or failing that, seeking out a place of his own to hide in. Meanwhile give the rest of the world to Communism, if that is the best answer you can come up with, Henry!

NEWS Survey, indeed! Hah! Paul DesRosiers Eng. IV

Wanna cracker?

Dear Sir:

I thank you for your incisive, brilliant and scholarly editorial on my pro-U.S. policy Vietnam motion. The originality of your editorial lies in the fact that it represents neither the Hawks nor the Doves: it represents the Parrots.

Mario Relich Arts III

SAC executive, not Assembly responsible for mess

Dear Sir:

The mess of student government this year has been blamed largely on the Lower House, and with some amount of truth. But at the last meeting of this body the SAC executive finally admitted by their actions that they care about nothing but their own opinions.

I am referring specifically to the motion asking support for the U.S. stand in South Vietnam. Inconsistency was the keynote of the actions of our president and vice-president for internal affairs.

Let us deal first with the actions of the president. He was against the motion, supposedly because it was not supported by the majority of students. Yet two meetings previously this same person introduced a motion "to give the official support of the Loyola Student Association to the mass rally and meeting organized by the Emergency Committee for Peace and Self-Determination for Vietnam."

In other words, he would gladly have approved an anti-U.S. demonstration without any form of consultation with the student body. He would gladly have told others that his personal thoughts were reflected by the vast majority of Loyola students without even asking these students.

Our illustrious vice-president for internal affairs managed to give a lengthy dissertation filled with half-truths against the U.S. stand in Vietnam. Upon finishing his discourse he proceeded to try, and eventually

succeeded, to put a gag on this line of discussion.

You would think that he would have had the integrity either not to speak before doing this, or letting political discussion continue. Such was not the case. He used the rules of order to manipulate the debate.

Finally, the vice-president for internal affairs (sic) is quoted as saying, "The Assembly was afraid to get involved." Yet he was not referring to Vietnam, but to a motion seeking abolition of tuition fees.

This shows the narrow-mindedness of the SAC executive. As long as they agree with an issue, be it campus or international, they will cry for its support, blaming student apathy as the reason for its failure.

They want the Assembly to "get involved" all right. They want it to get involved in their side of every question. They want it to get involved in support of their views. They want it to get involved in their far-out ideology.

Once we disagree with them, all of a sudden we're "afraid to get involved."

Anybody who says that the Lower House was afraid to get involved in the free education issue is ignorant of what goes on. Anybody who thinks the SAC executive is truly representative of the students of Loyola College is blind, for the SAC executive is solely interested in forcing its own point of view on us.

Paul Rappel, Arts Lower House representative

Computer to count votes

Student Administrative Council and Lower House elections will be held on March 7-8.

Frank Mizgala, Chief Returning Officer, announced that the ballots will be tabulated by an I.B.M. computer.

The SAC candidates will confront one another in debate this Friday in the main auditorium at 11 a.m. Campaigning ends at midnight the same day.

Copies of the election rules may be obtained from the SAC office in the Temporary Student Centre. Mizgala said the rules emphasized the use "of good taste and common sense."

This year marks the first time that I.B.M. cards will be used

as ballots. The cards are expected to lower the counting time; results will be announced Wednesday of election week.

The IBM ballots are not to be folded under any circumstances. The names of the candidates will not appear on the ballots. Numbers will represent the names. Enlarged copies of the ballot containing the names will be present at all election booths. The voter will associate the two, and then indicate his choice by placing a mark in the bracket opposite the candidate's number. A special pencil will be provided for this.

The voter must disregard the spaces marked LCAA President, Vice-President, and Sportsmanship trophy. The election for these will take place on March 11.

The Lower House representatives from the three faculties are to be elected by their respective members of the individual faculties. For example, only artsmen can elect the Arts representative. Should an Artsman mark a space provided for a candidate from any other faculty, the ballot will be invalidated.

The distribution of the ballots is as follows:

• The rectangle immediately beneath the words "Student Administrative Council", is the space provided for the SAC candidates. The first of the two teams running this year is the Haynal Capper duo. They are represented by number 1. The bracket marked number 2 re-

presents the Maloney-Aitken team. A mark in both spaces constitutes an invalid ballot.

• Lower House Arts Representatives: There are four seats open and therefore only four of the spaces are to be marked.

• Commerce: There are two members to be elected and therefore only two marks must appear.

• Engineering: There is only one seat open.

• Science: Two seats are open.

ELECTION BALLOT			
STUDENT ADMINISTRATIVE COUNCIL PRES. & VICE PRES.			
TEAM	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
L.C.A.A. PRESIDENT			
CANDIDATE	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
L.C.A.A. VICE-PRESIDENT			
CANDIDATE	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
SPORTSMANSHIP TROPHY			
CANDIDATE	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
LOWER HOUSE ASSEMBLY			
ARTS REP.	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
COMM. REP.	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
ENG. REP.	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
SC. REP.	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3
	1	2	3

Crash Kills UBC Fratmen

(C.U.P.) — At the University of British Columbia, two students were killed in an auto accident January 20th. High speed and heavy drinking were blamed for the accident which followed a four-hour drinking party at the Kappa Sigma fraternity house.

As a result, changes, which include the banning of all afternoon parties not sanctioned by the Inter-Fraternity Council are being proposed. No liquor license will be issued to the fraternity without an IFC letter.

At the University of Alberta, a problem involving drinking also exists. A license must be bought, and a statement declaring the number of minors to be present must be signed, before liquor can be served at an on-campus party.

In fraternity houses, which are legally classified as single family dwellings, no liquor can be brought into the living room, although it is permitted in individual rooms. There is no way to acquire a liquor permit for a fraternity house, considering its present legal status.

Henry Sobotka '67
Editor-in-Chief

J. Powell Barlow '67
Managing Editor

Brian McKenna
News Editor

Ian MacDonald '69
Sports Editor

Don Ferguson '68
Features Editor

Anthony F. Burman '70
With us in spirit

Tony Cugliandro '68
Photo Editor

Michel Gagnon '67
Associate Editor

Dennis Murphy '67
Associate Editor

Ray Taras '67
Associate Editor

DESK EDITORS: Allannah Murphy, Jim Rowan. ASSOCIATE SPORTS EDITOR: Glen Blouin. NEWS: Bob Czerny, Brian Lilley, Ruth Lukawski. SPORTS: Kev Johnson.

All Advertising Through Loyola Publication

Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of the administration, faculty, student association members or alumni of Loyola University. Publisher: Board of Publication, Loyola University Student Association, 4501 West Broadway, Montreal 28, Que. 482-9280. Published weekly during the academic year. Subscription: \$3.00. Authorized as second class mail, Post Office Department, Ottawa, and for payment of postage in cash.

Peter McCracken
Chairman, Board of Publications
Temporary Student Center

I hereby submit my application for:

- ☐ Editor, Loyola Review
- ☐ Editor, Student Handbook
- ☐ Editor, Student Directory
- ☐ Chairman, Board of Publications

I understand that anyone is eligible.

NAME

ADDRESS

TELEPHONE

Voice a CHOICE

Not an ECHO

VOTE McCAFFERTY

ARTS PRESIDENT

MIKE BARRETT

FOR PRESIDENT

OF THE ARTS SOCIETY



VOTE LUNDY

FOR ARTS PRESIDENT

- MAN OF
1. EXPERIENCE
 2. ACTION

JULIETTE Beauty Salon

141a Westminster North
for appointment
486-0308

Best Wishes

to the

Class of '66

from

Bob Lunny Sporting Goods

Best of Luck

to

This Year's Grads

from

Your Publishers

MONITOR PUBLISHING CO.

COMMERCEMEN

VOTE

Leadership, Experience, Ability

VOTE

BRUCE DIONNE

ON

and BOB ATKINSON

THURSDAY

COMMERCE SOCIETY

VOTE FOR

EFFECTIVE DYNAMIC LEADERSHIP

VOTE

HANNA MAHONEY

2

Formal Wear For All Occasions

Tony can serve you with the finest and latest styles in formal wear for all occasions.

TUXEDOS
Complete Service for Students
\$8.50

Tony

THE FORMAL TAILOR MAN

5520 SHERBROOKE STREET WEST
(at Girouard) — Tel.: 488-8638

Dear:
E.P., T.C. & P.C.

THANKS FOR THE SURPRISE PARTY

P. P.

Warriors shine in 5-4 loss

By IAN MacDONALD



— NEWS photo by Kev O'Hara

ONE OF MANY NARROW MISSES. Dave Hedgecoe is seen being thwarted by Georgian goalie Brian Chapman in the second period of Sunday's championship game lost by the Warriors 5-4. Hedgecoe, a standout in the playoff series had shot from close in after taking a pass from teammate Bruce Kelly (center).

Reaction — no shame

Freshman coach Al Grazys voiced one of the first comments as the Warriors retreated to their dressing room following their 5-4 loss to Sir George. "You guys have nothing to be ashamed of, you played a great game."

And thus was one of many short comments which volleyed back and forth in a low murmur for the first ten minutes after, what at least 98% of the 6,000 spectators could easily concede

By
KEV
JOHNSON

On Friday look for

- Announcement of Varsity hockey's most valuable player as chosen by his teammates.
- Profiles of candidates for LCAA offices.
- A look at the qualifications needed and the candidates for the Sportmanship Trophy.
- Doug McGurk reports on the Soccer team's quest for a new coach and a bigger share of the budget.

to be the most exciting and tense college hockey exhibition in this area in at least a decade.

"We have no reason to hang our heads gang we played a good game." This was a typical feeling which each player uttered to one another in a low hush. Few words were spoken till the showers started.

While the players slowly shed their gear, the sound of empty soft drink cans could be heard intermittently.

Matters were more at ease after 15 minutes. Some still looked at one another and shook their heads in disbelief. But all knew that they had not let down their stockholders — the many and loyal Warrior supporters.

There was some consolation in the fact that the team gave the winning Georgians one hell of a run for their money. "They

played so well I could just go and give all of them one big kiss", stated one of the Loyola cheerleaders. Well someone sure did appreciate the effort.

During the intermission between the second and third periods, someone stated that "if we keep up with this rate it's only a matter of time". Well, the Warriors did keep it up but could simply not get the puck to roll their way.

The Warriors obviously had their ambitions set on Sudbury where the Nationals championships will be held. But a member of the team summed up the general feeling when he stated "I hope Sir George represents the league well in the Nationals."

It took a while for the defeat to sink in. The team will still talk about the outcome over and over again. And included in the team, though he never skated in one game, is veteran manager Kev O'Connor who was left with the thankless job of cleaning up among orange peels, empty pop cans, and a variety of other paraphernalia.

One thing cannot be forgotten. And that is a big "Thank You" to the Warriors for providing Loyola fans with a great game and a great season.

"It's a tough one to lose, a real heartbreaker. The boys played well enough to win." So spoke an emotion charged Al Grazys following the Warriors 5-4 loss to Sir George Williams before more than 6,000 at the Forum last Sunday.

It was a wild and wooly contest from the opening faceoff to the final siren, as an exciting a game as one could ever hope to see.

But for the Warriors, while they can rightfully hold up their heads in pride, there is no real satisfaction in their camp this week. They wanted to win the Championship in the worst way, and they wanted to beat the pants off the hated Georgians. And although they gave more than was in them, on this day it was not enough. They were outlucked at every turn, though in all justice to the Georgians, they are a bunch of first rate opportunists. Last Sunday they were at their best in this department.

Sir George struck quickly in the first period. Joe Rae scored at the 1:46 mark from directly in front, after taking passes from Bob Eastman and Trevor Kerr.

Eight minutes later, the Warriors scored the first of what was to be an afternoon long routine for them. The routine is called comeback. Dave Hedgecoe eluded a pair of Georgian defenders at the Blue line, passed the puck to Roger Wilding, whose backhand shot was stopped by all-star goalie Brian Chapman. However, Bruce Kelly grabbed the rebound and beat Chapman with one of his patented quick wrist shots. Sir George went ahead again on Dave Parker's breakaway goal at the three quarter mark of the period.

Ricky Dawson scored the only goal in the second frame. Near the two minute mark, the starry right winger stole the puck from Hector MacFayden at the Warrior blue line, outskated the pursuing Georgians, cut in when he reached the blue line, and beat Chapman with a forty foot shot which caught the upper right corner of the net.

This was by far the Warriors best period. They had numerous chances after Dawson's goal, but could not find the mark.

Bruce Kelly scored his second of the afternoon early in the third after the Georgians had assumed the lead for the third time on Bob Eastman's goal. Kelly had taken Roger Wilding's pass outside the blue line, outthrust two defenders along the boards and beat Chapman cleanly on the glove side, with a shot from 15 feet out.

Sir George scored again but the Warriors refused to die. George Lackenbauer, carried the

puck end to end, went through the entire Sir George team, skated around the net and took his shot, Chapman made the stop, but could not hold the rebound. Roger Wilding was right on the spot, grabbed the loose puck and fired it over the prostrate netminder.

Trevor Kerr scored the winner for Sir George with less than three minutes remaining. Kerr had parked himself in the crease, following a face off to the right of Bruce McKay, and flicked Eastman's pass by McKay, who had not been able to react fast enough to Kerr's maneuver.

The Warriors were not of it yet, they came close several times in the remaining three minutes, but were unable to find the mark.

Sir George, by virtue of its win on Sunday wins the OSL Title and the right to represent the loop in the National Championships in Sudbury. They will meet the University of Toronto tomorrow in the qualifying game.

Coach Grazys called the game "a great team effort but if anybody outshone the others I would have to single out Roger Wilding, Bruce Kelly and George Lackenbauer."

The Warriors paid particular tribute to their fans. Danny Heffernan thought they were the greatest he had ever seen. Art Thomas remarked that they "made chills run up and down your spine; they were fantastic."

Summary

LOYOLA 4, SGWU 5

First Period

- 1-SGWU, Rae (Kerr, Eastman) 1:46
- 2-Loyola, Kelly (Wilding, Hedgecoe) 9:03
- 3-SGWU, Parker (Rae) 15:45
- Penalties — Lecour 4:48, Shatilla 7:32, Lemire 9:03, Kubacki 11:57, Ferguson 15:17.

Second Period

- 4-Loyola Dawson 1:49
- Penalties — Lackenbauer 0:59, Berry 2:40, Lemire 5:44, Rae 11:27, Heffernan 14:12, Wilding, Matthews 14:46.

Third Period

- 5-SGWU, Eastman (Rae, Kerr) 1:14
- 6-Loyola, Kelly (Wilding) 3:23
- 7-SGWU, Parker (Eastman) 5:51
- 8-Loyola, Wilding (Lackenbauer) 14:15
- 9-SGWU, Kerr (Rae, Eastman) 17:01
- Penalties — Kerr 5:39, Eastman, Kubacki 8:21, Parker, Thomas 13:49.
- Shots on goal: Loyola 8 10 14-32 Sir George 9 12 9-30

FOR PEOPLE WHO WANT PERFECTION :
WORLD FAMOUS

Suzukis — Hondas — Lambrettas
from

FIRMOT QUEBEC INC.

1312 - 16 Notre Dame W.

933-5641

Congratulations
Class of '66

from

Rose Bowl Lanes

WHOM TO VOTE FOR
IS A DIFFICULT QUESTION

But Where To Eat Is Obvious:

THE
CANTEEN